

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED 12/03/2002

Brown got the second of the se			A training and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second an	
APPLICATION NO	EII ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKLESS	100 000
09 987,687	EL 15 2001	Matthew C. Coffey	032775-078	7186
- 5	22 103 2002			
Gerald F. Swiss			EXAMINER.	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L. P. P.O. Box 1404		ANGELL, JON E		
Alexandria, VA	. 22313-1404		ARTUNII PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	12
			DATE MAIL ED 12 03 2002	10

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
~	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/987,687	COFFEY ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	J. Eric Angell	<u></u>				
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	EETHIS APPLICATION IN CONvoid abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amonth the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER				
		J. Eric Angell				

## Continuation Sh et (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/987,687

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendement would change the independent claim (claim 1) to reme a limitation not previously present in the claims. The new limitation would require that the injected virus is capable of selectively replicating in tumor cells. Therefore, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further search and consideration and the amendment has not been entered. Furthermore, Applicants arguments are moot because the arguments are directed to rejections of claims comprising the limitation(s) of the proposed amendment which has not been entered.